

A Summary of Molloy and Reed's Theorem of Graph Percolation

Eric R. Binnendyk

University of California, San Diego

ebinnendyk@ucsd.edu

Jacobs School of Engineering

December 9, 2022

- 1 Introduction
Percolation
- 2 Molloy and Reed's proof
The theorem
Proof of Molloy and Reed's theorem

Introduction

Here we will summarize a result by Molloy and Reed on the connectedness of graphs generated by random models, and a related extension by Antosegui, Bonet, and Levy to satisfiability of randomly generated 2-SAT formulas.

The study of behavior of graphs generated probabilistically has gone back a long way. Erdos and Renyi gave results about the largest connected component of randomly generated graphs where each potential edge had a $1/2$ probability of being chosen. Molloy and Reed's results extend this to a result about randomly generated graphs where different nodes have different expected degrees.

Percolation is a tool used to study the connectedness of graphs. In percolation, we start by “marking” a single node v of a graph G . At each step, the neighbors of all marked nodes also get marked. Eventually, we have marked an entire connected component of G .

If the original graph is generated randomly, percolation can be regarded as a **stochastic process**, where at each step we can calculate statistics about the distribution of possible outcomes.

Percolation

In percolation theory, often a graph displays a dramatic difference in behavior after the parameters generating it pass a certain threshold, called the **percolation threshold**.

In Erdos and Renyi's model, the percolation threshold happens when $np = 1$, where p is the probability that each edge is selected and n is the number of nodes. They showed that the size of the connected components undergoes a sudden change at this point.

- When $np < 1$, the largest component is almost surely of size $O(\log n)$
- When $np > 1$, the largest component is almost surely of size $O(n)$ while no other component is larger than $O(\log n)$
- When $np = 1$, the largest component is almost surely of size $O(n^{2/3})$

The theorem

Molloy and Reed's work extends Erdos and Renyi's theorem to a situation where the graph has variable degrees of its nodes.

Theorem (Molloy and Reed, 1995)

Theorem (Connected components in graphs with variable node degrees)

If a graph G is randomly generated such that each node $v \in G$ has a particular degree $d(v)$, the percolation threshold of G is at

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} i(i-2)\lambda_i = 0$$

where λ_i is the fraction of nodes with degree i .

Theorem (Molloy and Reed, 1995)

Theorem (Connected components in graphs with variable node degrees)

If a graph G is randomly generated such that each node $v \in G$ has a particular degree $d(v)$, the percolation threshold of G is at

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} i(i-2)\lambda_i = 0$$

where λ_i is the fraction of nodes with degree i .

Theorem (Molloy and Reed, 1995)

If $\sum_{i \geq 0} i(i-2)\lambda_i < 0$, no component is larger than $O(\log n)$.

If $\sum_{i \geq 0} i(i-2)\lambda_i > 0$, the largest component takes up a positive fraction of nodes.

Proof of Molloy and Reed's theorem

In the proof, we generate a graph G dynamically. We start with a set of values k_i that are the degree of each node $i \in G$ in our final graph G . We construct G according to a stochastic process by adding edges one at a time.

Process for generating G from the list of node degrees

- Start with all nodes disconnected.
- Choose a random node i which is connected to other nodes but isn't at degree k_i yet if possible. (Case A) Choose a node with degree 0 if there are no such nodes. (Case B)
- Choose another random node j with probability proportional to k_j minus the current degree of j .
 - In Case A1, j is connected to previously chosen nodes.
 - In Case A2, j does not yet have connections.
- Add edge (i, j) and increase degrees of i, j by 1.
- Repeat until all nodes have the required degree.

The variable X_r

In the proof, we keep track of a variable called X_r at each time step r . Let c_i be the current number of connections to node i at time r . Then:

$$X_r = \sum_{0 < c_i < k_i} (k_i - c_i)$$

X_r is the number of remaining connections to add to **partially exposed nodes** — nodes which have edges but do not yet have k_i edges as required.

Three cases

What happens to the value X_r when each of the three cases is executed?

- Case B: there are no partially exposed nodes.
 - $X_r = 0$ and $X_{r+1} = k_i + k_j - 2$
- Case A1: a partially exposed node connects to another
 - $X_{r+1} = X_r - 2$
- Case A2: a partially exposed node connects to a new node
 - $X_{r+1} = X_r + k_j - 2$

Size of connected component

Cases A1 and A2 occur until $X_r = 0$, at which time case B occurs. Whenever $X_r = 0$, we have extracted a connected component of the final graph. To find the average size of a component, we find the expected time until $X_r = 0$.

When $r = o(n)$, case A2 is by far more common than case A1 as most nodes in G have not been partially exposed yet. Thus:

$$E[X_{r+1} - X_r] \approx \frac{\sum_j k_j(k_j - 2)}{\sum_j k_j} = \frac{Q(\lambda)}{E[k]}$$

$$Q(\lambda) > 0$$

If $Q(\lambda) > 0$:

- In this case, we would expect the average value of X_r to tend upward. In fact, a basic result from random walk theory says that after $\Theta(n)$ steps, X_r is almost surely $\Theta(n)$.
- This is valid until case A1 can no longer be ignored, which happens when $r = \Theta(n)$.
- The resulting connected component almost surely has $\Theta(n)$ nodes.

$$Q(\lambda) < 0$$

If $Q(\lambda) < 0$:

- In this case, X_r goes back to 0 fairly quickly, as it is modeled by a random walk with downward trend. Molloy and Reed show that it almost certainly happens after $O(\log(n))$ steps. Thus, if $Q(\lambda) < 0$, we produce a connected component with $O(\log(n))$ nodes.

MR proof — Conclusion

- $Q(\lambda) > 0 \Rightarrow$ giant component of size $\Theta(n)$
- $Q(\lambda) < 0 \Rightarrow$ all small components of size $O(\log(n))$

The End

Questions? Comments?